

Sovereignty is incomplete without authority.

Sovereign models, deployment and agent capability answer where AI runs and what it can do. LHINOS asks a separate question: who gave this agent legitimate human authority to create this specific consequential effect?

Models, agents & sovereign deployment

Model capability, agent orchestration, tools, MCP/function interfaces, deployment and enterprise controls remain Mistral territory.

Human authority semantics

Actor, action, target, purpose, bounded scope, validity, action budget and reconstructable evidence before consequential execution.

CORE QUESTION

Can sovereign AI remain explicitly human-authorized when an agent becomes technically capable of acting?

Smallest credible proof

Technical capability can be available while human authority is absent, bounded or consumed.

01	Capability without authority	DENY
02	Explicit human handback	HUMAN CONFIRM
03	Bounded grant / grounded continuation	ALLOW
04	Unknown critical variable	CLARIFY / DENY
05	Existing technical policy blocks	BLOCKED
06	Decision evidence reconstructed	PASS / FAIL

FALSIFIER

If Mistral already provides equivalent end-to-end human-authority semantics - named human source, actor/action/target/purpose constraints, bounded validity and action budget, non-self-widening and reconstructable evidence - the LHINOS distinction must narrow or stop.

<https://lhinos-hi.com/mistral/>